

Supplementary Materials

Benefits of photovoltaic development on abandoned mines for carbon neutrality in China

Jiaoyue Wang^{1,3,4,#}, Zhonghui Wang^{1,2,#}, Shimiao Quan¹, Rui Zhong¹, Longfei Bing^{1,3,4}, Qinqin Hu^{1,3,4}, Tingting Xu^{1,3,4}, Honglin Ling^{1,2}, Na Zhao^{1,2}, Fengming Xi^{1,5}

¹Key Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Silviculture, Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang 110016, Liaoning, China.

²University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.

³Key Laboratory of Terrestrial Ecosystem Carbon Neutrality, Shenyang 110016, Liaoning, China.

⁴National-Local Joint Engineering Laboratory of Contaminated Soil Remediation by Bio-physicochemical Synergistic Process, Shenyang 110016, Liaoning, China.

⁵Institute of Mineral Resources, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, China.

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to: Dr. Jiaoyue Wang, Dr. Fengming Xi, Key Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Silviculture, Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang 110016, Liaoning, China. E-mail: wangjiaoyue@iae.ac.cn; xifengming@ustb.edu.cn

Table A1. Related parameters of photovoltaic power generation in abandoned open-pit mines in China.

Province	Optimum mounting angle (°)	Total annual radiation (kWh·m⁻²)	Peak sunlight hours (h/day)	Static distance between front and rear rows of photovoltaic panels (mm)
Anhui	26	1215.52	3.33	6738.69
Beijing	35	1382.97	3.79	9980.54
Chongqing	18	869.75	2.38	4431.09
Fujian	18	1290.88	3.54	5459.72
Gansu	36	1630.32	4.47	9308.63
Guangdong	18	1187.14	3.25	5260.34
Guangxi	15	1526.25	4.18	4954.87
Guizhou	17	1334.30	3.66	5385.91
Hainan	13	1637.57	4.49	4598.84
Hebei	37	1416.97	3.88	10079.71
Henan	32	1273.18	3.49	7922.52
Heilongjiang	43	1302.56	3.57	18517.29
Hubei	21	1108.86	3.04	6149.48
Hunan	17	1079.15	4.04	5437.41
Jilin	40	1338.42	3.67	12489.17
Jiangsu	24	1315.75	3.60	6775.46
Jiangxi	37	1200.67	3.29	7354.42
Liaoning	36	1412.39	4.47	10698.15
Inner Mongolia	36	1566.76	4.29	10554.52

Ningxia	37	1584.93	4.34	9333.56
Qinghai	36	1732.07	4.75	8665.86
Shandong	34	1357.25	3.72	8755.15
Shanxi	32	1414.75	3.88	8818.71
Shaanxi	30	1338.51	3.67	8007.93
Sichuan	17	1207.09	3.31	5613.71
Tianjin	25	1376.33	3.77	8146.80
Tibet	32	1883.10	5.16	7417.15
Xinjiang	39	1558.39	4.27	11951.86
Yunnan	25	1564.35	4.29	6044.26
Zhejiang	20	1220.81	3.34	5991.20
Shanghai	24	1421.47	3.52	6735.26

Note: The optimum mounting angle, total annual radiation, peak sunlight hours, and static distance between front and rear photovoltaic panels were obtained through PVsyst software.

Table A2. The energy crops distribution and per-unit output on abandoned mine lands and bioenergy production related coefficients in China

Energy crop categories	Specific plants	Main distribution provinces	Per area of dry matter or seed yield (t/ha)	Average bioenergy conversion coefficients			Energy consumption when energy crops for bioenergy production (MJ/kWh, or MJ/kg)
			Mining subsidence and restoration sites	Biopower (kWh/kg)	Ethanol (kg/kg)	Biodiesel (kg/kg)	
Cellulose energy crops	Panicum virgatum [1-5]	Beijing, Tianjin, Hebei, Shanxi, Inner Mongolia, Liaoning, Jilin, Heilongjiang, Shaanxi, Gansu, Qinghai, Ningxia, Xinjiang	2.30-23.00		1.50		6.02[6]
	Miscanthus sinensis [7-8]	Jilin, Hebei, Shandong, Shaanxi, Anhui, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Jiangxi, Hubei, Sichuan, Guizhou, Yunnan, Fujian, Guangdong, Guangxi, Hainan	2.30-15.00		1.59		7.74[6]
	Triarrhena	Beijing, Hebei, Shanxi, Inner	2.30-32.10		1.48		4.05[6]

sacchariflora [9-10]	Mongolia, Liaoning, Jilin, Heilongjiang, Jiangsu, Jiangxi, Shandong, Henan, Anhui, Hubei, Hunan, Chongqing, Shaanxi, Gansu, Ningxia				
Arundo donax [4-5,13-14]	Beijing, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Hunan, Sichuan, Guizhou, Yunnan, Tibet, Fujian, Guangdong, Hainan	7.60-13.80	1.54		4.01[13-14]
Hybrid Pennisetum [4, 9-10,15]	Beijing, Tianjin, Shanxi, Inner Mongolia, Liaoning, Jilin, Heilongjiang, Chongqing, Sichuan, Guizhou, Yunnan, Tibet, Shaanxi, Gansu, Qinghai, Ningxia, Xinjiang	4.0-40.10	1.42		4.53[13]
Hippophae rhamnoides [16]	Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Fujian, Jiangxi, Anhui, Guangdong, Guangxi, Chongqing, Sichuan, Guizhou, Yunnan, Shaanxi, Gansu, Liaoning	0.50-8.00	1.76		7.27[17]

Carbohydrate energy crops	Sorghum dochna[18-20]	Beijing, Hebei, Shanxi, Inner Mongolia, Liaoning, Jilin, Heilongjiang, Zhejiang, Fujian, Jiangxi, Shandong, Henan, Hebei, Anhui, Hubei, Hunan, Guangdong, Guangxi, Hainan, Chongqing, Sichuan, Guizhou, Yunnan, Tibet, Shaanxi, Gansu, Qinghai, Xinjiang	15.00-32.00	0.23	19.28[21]
	Dioscorea esculenta [22]	Hebei, Zhejiang, Fujian, Jiangxi, Shandong, Henan, Anhui, Hubei, Hunan, Guangdong, Guangxi, Hainan, Sichuan, Guizhou, Yunnan, Tibet	15.00-20.00	0.14	18.76[23]
	Helianthus tuberosus [18,22]	Beijing, Tianjin, Hebei, Shanxi, Inner Mongolia, Liaoning, Jilin, Heilongjiang, Jiangsu, Fujian, Shandong, Henan, Anhui, Hubei, Hunan, Shaanxi, Gansu, Qinghai, Ningxia, Xinjiang	22.00-40.00	0.17	18.76[23-24]

	Saccharum officinarum	Jiangxi, Guangxi, Hainan, Chongqing, Sichuan, Guizhou, Yunnan, Tibet, Shaanxi	12.00-22.50	0.30	26.14[21,23-24]
	Manihot esculenta	Fujian, Jiangxi, Shandong, Guangdong, Guangxi, Hainan, Chongqing, Sichuan, Guizhou, Yunnan, Tibet, Shaanxi	20.00-30.00	0.22	14.96[24]
Oil energy crops	Pistacia chinensis	Hebei, Shanxi, Shandong, Henan, Anhui, Hubei, Hunan, Guangdong, Guangxi, Sichuan, Yunnan, Shaanxi, Gansu	2.30-4.00	0.40	15.94[27-28]
	Ricinus communis	Beijing, Tianjin, Hebei, Shanxi, Inner Mongolia, Liaoning, Jilin, Heilongjiang, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Fujian, Jiangxi, Shandong, Anhui, Hunan, Guangdong, Guangxi, Sichuan, Yunnan, Shanxi, Gansu, Qinghai, Ningxia, Xinjiang	1.30-1.60	0.16	15.10[27-28]
	Jatropha curcas	Shandong, Hunan, Guangdong, Guangxi, Hainan, Sichuan,	4.00-7.50	0.23	19.88[32-33]

[18,31]	Guizhou, Yunnan, Tibet			
Xanthoceras sorbifolium [34-35]	Beijing, Tianjin, Hebei, Shanxi, Inner Mongolia, Liaoning, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Fujian, Jiangxi, Shandong, Anhui, Shaanxi, Gansu, Qinghai, Ningxia, Xinjiang	2.30-9.00	0.27	18.64[32-33]

Note: The energy crop distribution region cited from the Subject Database of China Plant [36] and some literatures.

References

1. Zhang, X.; Fu, J.Y.; Lin, G.; Jiang, D.; Yan, X.X. Switchgrass-based bioethanol productivity and potential environmental Impact from Marginal Lands in China. *Energies*, **2017**, *10*, 1–15.
2. Norkevičienė, E.; Venslauskas, K.; Navickas, K.; Greco, C.; Amalevičiūtė-Volungė, K.; Kemešytė, V.; Liatukienė, A.; Petrauskas, G.; Butkutė, B. Evaluating Switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.) as a Feedstock for Methane Production in Northern Europe. *Agriculture* **2025**, *15*, 1244.
3. Adesiyan, Y.R.; Alaba, P.A. Field-Grown Switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.) as a Bioenergy Feedstock: A Review of Phenotype Data Collection and Analysis. *Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresearch*, **2024**, *5*, 762–776.
4. Hou, X.C.; Fan, X.F.; Wu, Y.J.; Zuo, H.T. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on biomass quality of herbaceous bioenergy plant in abandoned sand excavation lands. *Chinese Journal of Grassland*, **2011**, *33*, 11–17.
5. Hou, W.; Yi, Z.L. Adaptability comparison and application assessment of various bioenergy grasses on different marginal lands in China. *Energy*, **2023**, *285*, 129483.
6. Lu, L.; Jiang, D.; Fu, J.Y.; Zhuang, D.F.; Huang, Y.H.; Hao, M.M. Evaluating energy benefit of *Pistacia Chinensis* based biodiesel in China. *Renew. Sust. Energy Rev.* **2014**, *35*, 258–264.
7. Fu, J.Y.; Gao, Q.; Lin, G.; Jiang, D.; Zhao, Y.Y.; Lu, S. Marginal land in China suitable for bioenergy crops under diverse socioeconomic and climate scenarios from 2020 to 2100. *Gig Earth Data*, **2024**, *8*, 566–586.
8. Zhao, C.Q.; Chen, M.; Fan, X.F.; Zhu, Y.; Yu, Y.S.; Zhang, S.; Wu, Y.J.; Hou, X.C. Effect of drought stress on the growth and physiological characteristics of *Miscanthus*. *Journal of Arid Land Resources and Environment*, **2015**, *29*, 197–201.
9. Zong, J.Q.; Guo, A.G.; Chen, J.B.; Liu, X.J.; A study on biomass potentials of perennial gramineous energy plants. *Pratacultural Science*, **2012**, *29*, 809–813.
10. Fan, X.F.; Hou, X.C.; Wu, Y.J.; Zhu, Y. Research progress and development potential analysis of bioenergy grass in the north of China. *Journal of China Agricultural University*, **2012**, *17*, 150–158.
11. Luo, Z.; Wang, T.; Peng, X.L.; Liu, S.B. Effects of different fertilization methods on growth features and biomass yield of giant reed (*Arundo Donax*). *Hunan Agricultural Sciences*, **2018**, *8*, 44–47.
12. Zeng, H.Y.; Zhang, W.B.; Liu, G.H.; Liu, X.M. Investigation and preliminary

screening of energy grass resource in tropical and subtropical regions of China.

Chinese Agricultural Science Bull, **2013**, 29, 135–141.

13. Duan, Q.S. Water footprint and carbon balance in the cultivation, fermentation, and energy utilization processes of industrial biogas crops. **2018**, Doctor thesis. Southwest University.
14. Liu, S.N. Development model building and calculate of biomass energy industry in Neimenggu desertification area. **2012**, Master thesis. Tianjin University.
15. Wen, H.F.; Fan, X.F.; Zhu, Y.; Teng, W.J.; Han, Z.; Hou, X.C. Research Process of Hybrid Pennisetum as Energy Crop. Chinese Agricultural Science Bulletin, **2017**, 33, 99–104.
16. Hu, J.Z. Feasibility on seabuckthorn development as an energy plant in rural areas of China. Water Resources Development and Management, **2014**, 4, 36–43.
17. Xu, G.; Wang, J.X.; Lin, H.L.; Ren, X.Z.; Chen, L.; Cui, X. Use of life cycle assessment methodology to compare environmental impacts of the same food equivalent for corn and alfalfa production. Acta Prataculturae Sinica, **2017**, 26, 33–43.
18. Li, X.; Hou, S.; Su, M.; Yang, M.F.; Shen, S.H.; Jiang, G.M.; Qi, D.M.; Chen, S.Y.; Liu, G.S. Major energy plants and their potential for bioenergy development in China. Environ. Manage, **2010**, 46, 579–589.
19. Fu, C.C.; Dong, T.L.; Sun, Y.C. Study on the optimization of high-yield energy crops suitable for marginal land cultivation and the potential of anaerobic fermentation for gas production. China Biogas, **2017**, 35, 72–76.
20. Deng, C. Screening of germplasm tolerant to drought and saline-alkali stress and evaluation of regeneration potential in vitro of sweet sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.). **2013**, Doctor thesis. Jilin University.
21. Dar, R.A.; Dar, E.A.; Kaur, A.; et al. Sweet sorghum-a promising alternative feedstock for biofuel production. Renew. Sust. Energy Rev. **2018**, 82, 4070–4090.
22. Shi, Y.C. Biomass: win the future. China Rural Science and Technology, **2011**, 3, 80–80.
23. Ferrari, M.D.; Guigou, M; Lareo, C. Energy consumption evaluation of fuel bioethanol production from sweet potato. Bioresource Technol. **2013**, 136, 377–384.
24. Liu, B.B.; Wang, F.; Zhang, B.; Bi, J. Energy balance and GHG emissions of cassava-based fuel ethanol using different planting modes in China. Energy Policy, **2013**, 56, 210–220.
25. Luo, J.; Lin, Z.L.; Que, Y.X.; et al. Effect of subsoiling depths on soil physical

- characters and sugarcane yield. *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology*. **2019**, *30*, 405–412.
26. Duan, J.; Chen, J.; Ma, L.Y.; Jia, L.M.; Yang, T. Research progress on woody-based oil species *Pistacia chinensis bunge*. *Journal of China Agricultural University*. **2012**, *17*, 171–177.
 27. Wang, Y.; Cheng, M.H. Greenhouse gas emissions embedded in US-China fuel ethanol trade: A comparative well-to-wheel estimate. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, **2018**, *183*, 653–661.
 28. Liang, S.; Xu, M.; Zhang, T. Unintended consequences of bioethanol feedstock choice in China. *Bioresource Technology*, **2012**, *125*, 3123–317.
 29. Dong, W.W. Impact of planting density and nitrogen application amount on growth characteristics and nutrient absorption of castor plants grown in medium saline soil. **2010**, Master thesis. Yangzhou University.
 30. Veličković, A.V.; Rajković, D.D.; Avramović, J. M.; Marjanović Jeromela, A.M.; Krstić, M.S.; Veljković V.B. Advancements in biodiesel production from castor oil: A comprehensive review. *Energy Conversion and Management*. **2025**, *330*, 119622.
 31. Yu, L.; Zhang, H.O.; Zhou, Z.J.; et al. Survey of Harmful Organisms on *Jatropha curcas* L. in Panzhihua City. *Modern Agricultural Science and Technology*, **2010**, *13*: 164–169.
 32. Falano, T.; Jeswani, H.K.; Azapagic, A. Assessing the environmental sustainability of ethanol from integrated biorefineries. *Biotechnol. J.* **2014**, *9*, 753–765.
 33. Ding, N.; Yang, Y.; Cai, H.; et al. Life cycle assessment of fuel ethanol produced from soluble sugar in sweet sorghum stalks in North China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, **2017**, *161*, 335–344.
 34. Hou, Y.K.; Li, Y.Y.; Zhao, J.S.; Ren, S.P. Fructification investigation and yield prediction in *Xanthoceras sorbifolia*. *Non-wood Forest Research*. **2011**, *29*, 144–148.
 35. Chen, Y.Q.; Chen, F.L. Assessment of bioenergy potential on marginal land—a case study in Yuzhong county of Gansu. *Renewable Energy Resources*, **2016**, *34*, 1079–1085.
 36. Subject Database of China Plant. <http://www.plant.csdb.cn/> [accessed 25 October 2022]